

Evaluations Results Viewer

Please Select a Term to Begin

Spring 2014	PHIL	Detail	Get Results
PHIL	PHIL.STANDARD	0190	PHIL0190-S01

Evaluation Template: PHIL.STANDARD

Course: PHIL0190-S01: Contemporary Moral Problems

Evaluation Section Number 1: Student Information

Question Number 1: Please indicate your reason(s) for taking this course (check all that apply).

	Pre-requisite for other course(s)	Requirement for concentration	Elective within a concentration	Elective outside concentration	Reputation of instructor	Interest in topic
						X

Question Number 2: Please indicate how often you attended class.

	Always(1)	Frequently(2)	Less than half of the time(3)
	X		

Question Number 3: Please indicate the number of hours per week you spent on this course outside of class.

	0-2 hours(1)	3-4 hours(2)	5-6 hours(3)	7-8 hours(4)	9+ hours(5)
		X			

Question Number 4: Please indicate the percentage of required reading you did for class.

	Over 90%(0)	From 90-75%(0)	From 75-50%(0)	From 50-25%(0)	Under 25%(0)
		X			

Question Number 5: Please indicate student type.

	Undergraduate	Graduate Student
	X	

Question Number 6: Did you understand the purpose and scope of the course when you enrolled?

	Very Well(5)	Moderately Well(4)	Somewhat(3)	Only slightly(2)	Not at all(1)
		X			

Question Number 7: As far as you know, your performance so far has been:

Question Number 7.1: In the instructor's judgment

	Excellent(0)	Very good(0)	Good(0)	Satisfactory(0)	Unsatisfactory(0)
			X		

Question Number 7.2: In your own judgment

	Excellent(0)	Very good(0)	Good(0)	Satisfactory(0)	Unsatisfactory(0)
		X			

Evaluation Section Number 2: Effectiveness of Course

Question Number 1: What knowledge or skills did this course help you develop? Please comment on your own learning and intellectual growth.

Response
I was able to learn how to take a critical eye to many various philosophies and theories and be able to understand or potentially begin the work of deconstructing them at various levels and form my own arguments.

Question Number 2: Please indicate your overall evaluation of the quality of the course.

	Excellent(1)	Good(2)	Satisfactory(3)	Poor(4)	Very poor(5)
	X				

Question Number 3: Please elaborate on your responses above, or on anything else you wish to discuss about the course design and content.

Response
No Response

Question Number 4: How clear did the instructor make the objectives of the course, i.e.: its educational objectives (e.g. skills) and its scope (e.g. content)?

	Very clear(5)	Moderately clear(4)	Satisfactorily clear(3)	Not very clear(2)	Completely unclear(1)
	X				

Question Number 5: How closely related to these objectives did you find the course to be?

Question Number 5.1: Teaching Methods

	Closely related(5)	Related(4)	Somewhat related(3)	Poorly related(2)	Not related(1)
	X				

Question Number 5.2: Assigned Reading

	Closely related(5)	Related(4)	Somewhat related(3)	Poorly related(2)	Not related(1)
	X				

Question Number 5.3: Written Work

	Closely related(5)	Related(4)	Somewhat related(3)	Poorly related(2)	Not related(1)
	X				

Question Number 6: On the whole, do you believe the instructor satisfactorily achieved the stated objectives?

	Completely(5)	Fairly completely(4)	Satisfactorily(3)	Poorly(2)	Unsatisfactorily(1)
	X				

Question Number 7: How would you characterize the readings for the course?

Question Number 7.1: Amount

	Way too much(5)	Too much(4)	About right(3)	Somewhat too little(2)	Way too little(1)
			X		

Question Number 7.2: Interest

	Very interesting(5)	Interesting(4)	Somewhat interesting(3)	Slightly interesting(2)	Dull(1)
		X			

Question Number 7.3: Helpfulness

	Very helpful(5)	Helpful(4)	Somewhat helpful(3)	Slightly helpful(2)	Unhelpful(1)
		X			

Question Number 8: How would you characterize the amount of student participation in the course?

	Way too much(5)	Somewhat too much(4)	About Right(3)	Somewhat too little(2)	Way too little(1)
			X		

Question Number 9: How would you characterize the quality of the class discussions?

	Very helpful(5)	Helpful(4)	Moderately helpful(3)	Slightly helpful(2)	Unhelpful(1)
	X				

Question Number 10: How would you characterize the structure of the course?

Question Number 10.1: Degree of overall structuring

	Excessive(5)	About right(3)	Too little(1)
		X	

Question Number 10.2: Work required

	Excessive(5)	About right(3)	Too little(1)
		X	

Question Number 10.3: Paper topics

	Well chosen(5)	Adequately chosen(3)	Poorly chosen(1)
	X		

Evaluation Section Number 3: Effectiveness of Instruction

Question Number 1: Please indicate your evaluation of the instructor's overall effectiveness.

	Very effective(1)	Effective(2)	Somewhat effective(3)	Ineffective(4)	Very ineffective(5)
Syme, Timothy David(P)	X				

Question Number 2: Please elaborate on your responses above, or anything else you wish to discuss about the teaching in this course.

Response
No Response

Question Number 3: Please evaluate your instructor's preparedness for class meetings.

	Excellent(5)	Very good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)		X			

Question Number 4: Please characterize your instructor's attitude toward student participation.

	Very encouraging(5)	Encouraging(4)	Sufficiently encouraging(3)	Insufficiently encouraging(2)	Discouraging(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)	X				

Question Number 5: Please evaluate your instructor's command of subject matter.

	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)	X				

Question Number 6: Please evaluate your instructor's organization of lectures or seminar talks.

	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)		X			

Question Number 7: Please evaluate the clarity of your instructor's lectures or seminar talks.

	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)

Syme, Timothy David(P)		X			
------------------------	--	---	--	--	--

Question Number 8: How well did your instructor clarify difficult material?

	Very well(5)	Moderately well(4)	Somewhat(3)	Only slightly(2)	Not at all(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)		X			

Question Number 9: Please evaluate the interest of your instructor's lectures or seminar talks.

	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)		X			

Question Number 10: Please evaluate your instructor's approachability outside of class.

	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Mediocre(2)	Poor(1)
Syme, Timothy David(P)	X				

Evaluation Section Number 4: Effectiveness of Teaching Assistants

Question Number 1: Please indicate your evaluation of the teaching assistant's overall effectiveness.

	Very effective(1)	Effective(2)	Somewhat effective(3)	Ineffective(4)	Very ineffective(5)
No Response					

Question Number 2: Please elaborate on your responses above, or on anything else you wish to discuss about the TA's teaching.

Response
No Response